

Summary of Representations to Proposed Schedule of Changes to the Submission Weston on the Green Neighbourhood Plan		
01	Oxfordshire County Council	Had no comments to make on the proposed schedule of changes
02	Pegasus on behalf of Greystoke Land	<p>Reference is made to planning application 19/00596/OUT Land North of Southfield Farm, North Lane Weston on the Green.</p> <p>Support the continued acknowledgement and acceptance that 38 dwellings are needed over the period 2017-2031. However, remain concerned that no new sites are actually allocated to meet those needs.</p> <p>There is no proper, reasoned justification for the 60% affordable housing requirement when the District wide requirement is 35%.</p> <p>There is little evidence that the Plan has been positively prepared.</p> <p>There is no evidence that windfall sites will be deliverable and can support affordable housing.</p> <p>Support the proposal to delete the explicit reference to HELAA site 229 (Fir Farm) as having potential to deliver 18 dwellings.</p> <p>Object to the continued reference to support being given to exception sites in the Green Belt.</p> <p>The Plan should identify a site allocation on unconstrained land beyond the Green Belt and the Conservation Area.</p> <p>Object to the district wide requirement of 750 dwellings being used a cap for housing provision.</p> <p>Object to the inference that all new development will compromise the character of the village and that development should be avoided if at all possible.</p> <p>Objects to the additional wording which seeks to resist development on the land north of Southfield Farm.</p>
03	Pegasus on behalf of Lagan Homes	<p>Comments are submitted in relation to the 'Schoolfield which is owned by Lagan Homes.</p> <p>There remains no justification as to why the level of affordable housing is set at 60%. It should reflect the level in the local plan.</p> <p>The advice in the NPPF has been misconstrued.</p> <p>Reference is made to Policy Villages 2 of the adopted Local plan and the interpretation of its requirements in recent appeal decisions</p> <p>The plan lends bias towards HELAA Site 229 'Land at Fir Tree Farm which is in the Green Belt.</p> <p>There is a lack of objectivity in the formulation of the plan. It is inappropriate for the NDP Steering Group to continue to maintain their position in respect of the Schoolfield without the appropriate supporting evidence.</p> <p>The eastern part of the Schoolfield could be developed for residential purposes whilst the western part of the site could be utilised as green space .</p>
04	Scottish and Southern Electricity	Have no further comments to make.